## Appendix 2 Interim Governance Report - Action Plan

No. 2010/11 Finding	2011/12 Finding	Accountable Officer Head of Service	- Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Evidence
1	Recommendation 1: General Ledger – Journal documentation (Ex.6) Supporting documentation should accompany all journals. This will allow the 'inputter' to view the	Tiedd Of Service							
	documentation to ensure its accuracy before posting.  No supporting documentation accompanies journals when sent to the Ledger Management Team for input. Instead departments keep all documentation. It is therefore not clear how the Ledger Management Team know the amounts in the journal are accurate.	Jeff Garfoot / Paul Darby	Strategic Finance - ALL / Service Finance - ALL	High	The risk is journals being input with no understanding of what the journal is for and that inaccurate journals are input into the General Ledger	Journals are prepared and reviewed by service accountants who retain the appropriate documentation. The Ledger Management team's role is to process journals and to ensure that the journal has been correctly entered into the system. Accuracy checks on the journal entries are carried out in services.	Supporting documentation is attached to all journal entries completed after 31 December 2012	31 December 2012	
	Recommendation 2: General Ledger: Journal authorisation (Ex.7) The Council should introduce the review and authorisation of journals before posting to the General Ledger.					The review and authorisation of journals was discussed at the last audit. The		1	
	A journal chosen during the walkthrough had no evidence of authorisation prior to input into the GL. There is no requirement for journal entries to be reviewed and authorised by a senior officer prior to upload.	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance - Hilary Appleton	High	Without authorisation there is a risk that errors may not be identified prior to the Journal being input and that inappropriate journals could be posted to the GL	volume of journals processed by Finance will require consideration of the proces of review and authorisation to ensure that it is done efficiently. As appropriate, Strategic Finance will issue instruction to all service accounting teams to review and approve journals prior to entering into the General Ledger. This recommendation was the subject of an internal audit review to establish best practice.	Propose a method of journal approval	31 December 2012	
	Recommendation 3: General Ledger: Dataset reconciliations (Ex.8) The Council should complete regular reconciliations of dataset upload files.								
	ICON cash receipting, Durham City Homes rents and payroll datasets are uploaded into the General Ledger. However, it is possible to amend the datasets. As a result there is a risk of amendment to the datasets before posting to the General Ledger. Officers have introduced Payroll and cash receipting upload file reconciliations. However, there is no reconciliation of the Durham rents upload file to the General Ledger. This also affects GL AIM uploads because of the .dat format, resulting in the possibility of amendment of files before upload into General Ledger.	Jeff Garfoot / Paul Darby	Strategic Finance - Ledge Management Team/Servic Finance - ALL		The risk is amendment of datasets resulting in the upload of errors to the General Ledger	Partially a Ledger Management issue. There are amendments made to files to allow processing. This is to change headers, footers or periods to ensure that files are 'unique', particularly that they have unique 'headers' so that they can be successfully uploaded into Oracle. The originating service can produce more than one file of data per day with the same header which it is not possible to upload into Oracle. It is agreed that upload files could be locked to prevent amendment. However, introducing the appropriate system would be costly and unlikely to be a priority. Confirmation from ICT Services that it is possible to lock the file(s) would need to be sought. As all amendments are processed via the correction facility in Oracle, there is no reason, subject to IT being able to arrange this, that files may not be locked.	Investigate the possibility of locking files that are to be uploaded into Oracle.  Request ICT arrange the locking of files, if possible to do	31 December 2012	
-	Recommendation 4: General Ledger: Opening Balances (Ex. 9) The Council should document a review of the opening balances to confirm the General Ledger has brought the correct balances forward.					Oracle does not bring forward balances; it perpetually calculates balances on	Check the opening balances in Oracle are consistent with the Statement of Accounts.		
	The Oracle General Ledger automatically carries forward Opening balances. Officers are unaware of any procedures to check opening balances carried forward are correct.	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance/Financia Systems Support	ıl Medium	The risk is the Oracle GL does not carry forward the opening balances accurately, and officers do not identify this as no review takes place.	account from the sum of the transactions. The opening balances were checked by officers after amendments were made following the audit of the Statement of Accounts to ensure that the ledger matched the Statement of Accounts.	<b></b>	30 November 2012	
	Recommendation 5: General Ledger: Trial Balance (Ex.10) The Council should complete regular trial balances to ensure there are no major differences.								
					The risk is that an imbalance occurs that is not identified before	Agreed. Trial balances are being undertaken weekly, daily during the final accounts period. A trial balance was run on 9 March 2012, ahead of the final accounts period and was in balance. During the year, trial balances should be run to ensure the system is in balance. On a monthly basis, there should also be a reconciliation to the Discoverer Reports. During the year, trial balances should		30 November 2012	
	Completion of regular trial balances has not taken place during 2011/12. Trial balances are instead only completed at the year-end	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance - Ian Herberson	Medium	the year-end. Trial balances will be substantively tested at year end.	r be run to ensure the system is in balance. On a monthly basis, there should also be a reconciliation to the Discoverer Reports.	Ensure that the Trial Balances are filed centrally for evidence of completion and correctness.		
	Recommendation 6: General Ledger: Electronic authorisation (Ex. 11) The Council should introduce a system of approving reconciliations using emails. This will require the approving officer to email the preparer to confirm the reconciliation is accurate.  Officers complete monthly Drive to Oracle reconciliations. A suitable officer then					The weekly reconciliation of the files processed through the AIM interface from the former District Council's Agresso systems to Oracle no longer occurs. As the	9	Complete	Required
	reviews and approves the reconciliation. This is an electronic authorisation which makes is difficult to verify the officer reviewing the reconciliation.	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance - Ian Herberson	Medium	The risk is the review of the reconciliation does not take place.	Agresso systems have been decommissioned, this reconciliation is no longer applicable.		osp.o.o	
	Recommendation 7: Payroll: No authorised signatories list (Ex.14) Either the Payroll section or the Service Departments should introduce an authorised signatories list, to help reduce the risk of submission of fraudulent time sheets					Creating, maintaining and using an authorised signatories list would be significant, labour intensive paper based task which would have doubtful benefits. A more suitable approach for confirming the validity of claims is to move where possible to electronic submission of claims. The identity of the individuals submitting/authorising the claims is confirmed through their logging on to the system. Online mileage claims are already being rolled out across the Authority. The payroll system allows for the facility to allow electronic submission of additional hours worked. Some development work will be required and a decision on whether to develop this functionality is likely to be taken by 30 September 12. There is some feasibility work and planning to be undertaken before the decision to progress the solution can be take. It is likely that the feasibility work will be complete by September 2013.	1		
	Neither the Payroll section nor the individual departments keep an authorised signatories list. It is not possible to confirm an approved officer has signed the manual time sheets.	Kim Jobson	HR - Payroll and Pensions Nick Orton	- Medium	The risk is submission of inaccurate or fraudulent claims without the knowledge of the supervising officer	At present, a check is carried out to establish that timesheets and claims have been signed. The implementation of a module for recording additional hours on a self service basis, similar to the MyView system, should be achievable though it is not possible at this time to determine the true cost or savings that would be required / achieved through such a system. A Resourcelink development plan is in the process of being developed and it is expected that this will be included as a potential system development. Appropriate high level governance arrangements are in place to monitor the development of the Resourcelink system through project board arrangements	Decide on the development of the functionality in ResourceLink to allow electronic submission of claims  Move to electronic submission of claims - subject to above action  Following the development of a ResourceLink development plan, implement a module for recording additional hours.	30 September 2014	
8	Recommendation 8: Payroll: BACS pay run authorisation (Ex.15) The Payroll	14 3003011	Orton	woodiii	manage of the supervising uniter	ojotom moogn project board arrangemente			
	section should ensure a Team Supervisor authorises all BACS submission files After creating a BACS submission file the Team Supervisor authorises a hard copy of the file. However, no Team Supervisor had authorised the BACS submission file tested during audit.	Kim Jobson	HR - Payroll and Pensions Nick Orton	- Medium	The risk is that no review of the BACS submission file took place. Increasing the risk of inaccurate payments.	All BACS submission files are now authorised by a Team Supervisor.		Complete	Required

1 of 8

Secure description of the policy of the control of	2012
The first in the Court of Court Court Court Court of Court of Court of Court C	2012
The Control of Part and the control of the control	2012
The Lake Management Service representation of the control former services and explanation of the control former service	2012
Court day de l'improve an invegeration invoire de de sente de la production de l'improve de sente de la production de la p	
Recommendation 12 Accounts Psychola Authorised Bigunstonic stat (E.A.5)  The control bed and only only on manufal relations of the foliation o	10
Recommendation 13: Accounts Payable: Non-Purchase Order invoices review (E.S.) An independent circle which should review and process and a second process of the should review of the information of the information entered into the accounts Payable and Recounts Receivable: Part out of the information 15: Accounts Payable and Receivable: Control account Receivable: Part out of the control account reconciliation is 14. Accounts Payable and Receivable: Control account Receivable: Part out of the information is 14. Accounts Payable and Receivable: Part out of the information is 14. Accounts Payable and Receivable is not information in the order of the information is the order of the information in the order of the information is the order of the information is the information in the order of the information in the order of the information entered into	-
After the manual energy of non-purchase order invoices into the Accounts Papable and Receivable review of the details entered and experiment of the control factors when ordered the invoice details also annually validate the invoice in the Accounts Papable and Receivable: Control account Recombinations (E.S.D.) The Council should expert the invoice of payment and the review (I has a step of the review) (I have a step of the review of the information entered than the recombination of the payment is also a risk, as the officer retrievance of the review (I has a step of the review) (I has a step of the review (I has a step of the review) (I have a step of the re	
Reconcilations (Ex.5) The Council should ensure the timely review and authorisation of all reconcilisations by a senior officer were the August, September and October 2011  Jeff Gartot  The risk is errors in the reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  This process was implemented following the AGR for 2010/11. The reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  This process was implemented following the AGR for 2010/11. The reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  The risk is errors in the reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  This process was implemented following the AGR for 2010/11. The reconciliation is now done on a regularmorithy basis.  The risk is errors in the reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  The risk is errors in the reconciliations are not identified as no review takes place.  The process was implemented following the AGR for 2010/11. The reconciliation is now done on a regularmorithy basis.  Following mittal success with garage rents the next step is for the commercial errors to the involved automatically. As a seets Management Team in 2011/12. Officers explained there was little handower between the two sections, which resulted in project following the Asset sets restructure and reconciliation are being working group has been set up meeting on a monthly basis. Processes are being reviewed and properties under separate disert involves on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only received district Process on a placement less as they only rec	2013
should ensure the timely raising of all periodic invoices.  Responsibility for periodic invoicing passed from the Accounts Receivable team to the Asset Management Team in 2011/12. Officers explained there was little handover between the two sections, which resulted in problems raising periodic invoices. Asset Management Team are piccessed sate stems only received district Agressor reports in November 2011.  In addition, the Asset Management has expressed concern that issuing of bills to some properties has not taken place for several years.  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Stuart Timmiss  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Stuart Timmiss  Asset Management Team  Asset Management Team  Forcesses are being reviewed and properties under separate classifications are being scrutinized and time periods/ billing requirement ascertained/. Garage rent of the first interview ongoing.  Asset Management Team  Stuart Timmiss  Asset Management Team  Gerard Darby  Medium  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  Asset Management Team  Are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income.  The risk is the Council has not colle	Required
Responsibility for periodic invoicing passed from the Accounts Receivable team to the Asset Management Team in 2011/12. Officers explained there was little handover between the two sections, which resulted in problems raising periodic invoices. Asset Management Team are only raising district invoices on a piecemeal basis as they only received district Agresso reports in November 2011.  Asset Management Team are only raising district invoices on a piecemeal basis. Processes are being reviewed and properties under separate classifications are in addition, the Asset Management team has expressed concern that issuing of bills to some properties has not taken place for several years.  Asset Management Team being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement ascertained/. Garage rent some properties has not taken place for several years.  Asset Management Team being reviewed and properties under separate classifications are being reviewed and properties under separate classifications are being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement ascertained/. Garage rent Stuart Timmiss Gerard Darby Medium The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.	
Management Team are only raising district invoices on a piecemeal basis as they only received district Agressos reports in November 2011.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and bring requirement search as expressed concern that issuing of bills to some properties has not taken place for several years.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  A sset Management Team - being scrutinised and time periods/ billing requirement search assertations are c	
some properties has not taken place for several years.  Stuart Timmiss Gerard Darby Medium The risk is the Council has not collected all periodical income. are currently on system with Industrial units review ongoing.  Recommendation 16: Accounts Receivable: No reconciliation between Schools (SIMS) Receipts and Accounts Receivable System (Ex.13). The Council should introduce a formal reconciliation between the upload of all receipts from the SIMS system into the Accounts Receivable system.	3
Recommendation 16: Accounts Receivable: No reconciliation between Schools  (SIMS) Receipts and Accounts Receivable System (Ex.13). The Council should  introduce a formal reconciliation between the two systems to help ensure the upload of all receipts from the SIMS system into the Accounts Receivable system.	
The Income team match/reconcile all school bankings, i.e. bank receipts to SIMS. Controlled by an Oracle weekly report showing outstanding items. Quality	
checking process being determined.  In addition, the schools funding finance team run regular Oracle reports and provide to the schools for further reconciliation. A review will be undertaken by	i i
No formal reconciliation between the Accounts Receivable system and Schools (SIMS) system is completed. Instead, officers rely on an error message from Oracle to identify any receipts not correctly uploaded during the upload.  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the error message within Oracle AR  Service Finance - Ian Fine risk is the Accounts Receivable system may not include all Possible clarification needed with regards to the err	2012
Recommendation 17: Loans and Investments: Monthly reconciliation not authorised (Ex.17). The Council should ensire a senior officer reviews and	2012
authorises the monthly and year-end reconciliations. Investments and Loans - Officers perform a monthly reconciliation of the Investments  Monthoring Schedule, which contains details of all investments made, and the General  Lodger and of all DIMUR interest and principal payments in the Consequence of the Investments of the Investment	2012
Ledger and of all PWLB interest and principal payments in the General Ledger to the PWLB Loan Schedule.  Review the reconciliation - ensure that it is correct, or take In both cases, a senior officer reviews the reconciliation. However, there is no	2012
evidence of this review taking place.  Strategic Finance - Ian  The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and because no Following Finance Unitisation, a senior officer has now been assigned  Jeff Garfoot  Herberson  Medium  The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and because no Following Finance Unitisation, a senior officer has now been assigned review takes place this is not identified.  Retain evidence of the review of the reconciliation review takes place this is not identified.	

Section than grades in a state of the design of the special selection o	No. 2010/1 Finding	1   2011/12 Finding g	Accountable Officer Head of Service	r - Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Evidence
Part	18 * 18	Service Direct should compile an authorised signatories list to provide assurance that								
Secretaria de la constitución de		extraction forms, used to confirm the receipt of goods, and manual time sheets. Site Foremen sign both to confirm their accuracy. It was not possible to confirm that an approved individual signed the extraction form and time sheet tested as no authorised	Paul Darby		Medium	extraction forms and time sheets, resulting in the processing of	Materials Controllers posts and the requistioner is identified at that stage. Goods are 'receipted' by the Materials Controller once they have been received. They of use the delivery note as proof or confirm with the requistioner / Site Foreman /		Complete	Required
Part	19 * 19									
Secure Contact		authorisation (Ex.55) Service Direct should ensure that Oracle Projects or a hard					Architect) who issues a Practical Completion certificate with or without a snagging list which are minor items of work still to be completed. After the 12 months defects liability period comes to an end a further inspection is carried out by the client's agent and Direct Services and any remedial works		Complete	Required
Recommendation So. Paginal and Ministration Control and Society and English and Ministration Control and Society a		However, there is no evidence of this inspection taking place. As the job file does not contain details of the Surveyors visit. Evidence of the surveyors approving the job is	Paul Darby		Medium	identify actual charges. However, there is no evidence of this inspection taking place. As the job file does not contain details of the Surveyors visit. Evidence of the surveyors approving the	Certificate' is issued by the clients agent.  This system has been recently reinforced and is monitored and reported upon by s B & FM's Programme Planner and we are now confident that all projects follow this process which ensures all jobs are 'signed off' and we improve our customer			
Secondary and product as in place as in place of the lacking years are product of the lacking years are producted producted. The lacking are producted to the lacking years are producted p	20									
Early Davies Described agreement for the profession of the property of the control of the property of			Paul Darby		Low	Controllers with delivery notes. Instead, the Material Controller simply receipts the goods in Oracle when required to, to ensur the prompt payment of invoices. This may therefore result in	re Agree with the recommendation, and this will be implemented as soon as	Ensure that the recommendation has been implemented.	30 November 2012	Required
No. cumberdies all protection from the place of this Section December (by Agricum of Service Figures — Park Officer (by Agricum of Service Figures — Service Figures — Park Officer (by Agricum of Service Figures — Service Figures	21 * 18	(Ex.21) Service Direct should compile an authorised signatories list to provide								
Oncic Ex.22 An interpretation of the State product of the State produc		No authorised signatories list is in place at the Service Direct site. Approved officers sign manual time sheets completed by employees to confirm their accuracy. However, as there is no authorised signatories list it was not possible to confirm that the officer	Paul Darby		Medium			Ensure that the recommendation has been implemented.	Complete	Required
Part	22	Oracle (Ex.22) An independent officer should review receipts entered into Oracle to ensure the information entered is accurate, before Oracle recognises the receipts. In er receipting or goods in Oracle for the transaction tested was not competed correctly. This is because the officer receipting the goods wrongly included the price (£12.98) in the quantity received column; while including the quantity received (1) in the	3							
Payable system (Ex.16). The Council should ensure the year-end reconcilation uses the control total sheet and that a senior officer reviews and authorises the year-end reconcilation.  Officers complete an informal reconcilitation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation.  Officers complete an informal reconciliation between the SSID upload file and the Accounts Payable system after every SSID upload. However, there is no control these to control these to control the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in procedure.  The reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in proceedure.  The risk is the reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in proceedure.  The risk is the reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation in reconciliation in the feet formal reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation of 2011/11/2 was completed when each bed and such control the reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation of 2011/11/2 was completed when each bed and such control the reconciliation is included in the Material Systems reconciliation of 2011/11/2 was completed when each bed and such control the earth of the		Oracle updates any commitment already in the system, through multiplying the quantity by the unit price. This inaccurate treatment had no impact on the updated commitment; however officers stated that large errors have occurred because of the inaccurate	;	Financial Systems - Keith	Medium	information resulting in inappropriate balances in the General Ledger. Although, budget monitoring would identify this, it coul be time-consuming to correct any errors uploaded in such a	control to eradicate occasional errors; plus there is no standard functionality to ild facilitate and it would require a customisation. The compensating control is that all outstanding accruals for material amounts (above £250) are circulated (on a		Complete	Required
This reconciliation is included in the Material Systems occurring the many opposed but the formal reconciliation in included in the Material Systems occurring the many opposed but the formal incording of these was only updated at the year end. Responsibilities in place and no formal documentation of the reconciliation and ensure that a control sheet will be introduced by the year-end.  Paul Darby  Payable - Chris Jones  Paul Darby Payable - Chris Jones Payable system for every SiDu updated in the reconciliation is not in place and as a result on Manufacture of process are updated to the Accounts Payable system for every deal control sheet will be introduced by the year-end.  Recommendation 24: SMMS: Invoice not authorised of payment. (Ex.23) School Finance start should ensure that all invoices are authorised. The School Manager continuing the pay of the payment. However, the invoice tested was not understood for payment (Ex.24). The School Manager continuing to great place and the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, poods may not have been received. The Durham Federation Finance 1 Seminated that an approved individual signs at including the payment. However, the invoice tested was not and understood for payment. However, the invoice tested was not calculated the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, poods may not have been received at three is no documentation of the School Manager continuing the payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised of payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised of payment in addition, poods may not have been received as three is no documentation of the School Manager continuing the payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised of payment in addition, poods may not have been received as three is no documentation of the School Manager continuing the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, poods may not have been received as three is no documentation of the School Manager continuing the payment	23	Payable system (Ex.16) The Council should ensure the year-end reconciliation uses the control total sheet and that a senior officer reviews and authorises the year-end								
Accounts Payable system after every SSID upload. However, there is no control sheet in place and no form documentation of the reconciliation of the reconc							The reconciliation for 2011/12 was completed when each batch was posted but			
end.  Recommendation 24: SIMS: Invoice not authorised for payment (Ex.23) School Finance - Accounts Payable - Chris Jones Medium payment.  Recommendation 24: SIMS: Invoice not authorised for payment (Ex.23) School Finance startishould ensure that all invoices are authorised. The School Manager should ensure that all invoices are authorised for payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised for payment.  The risk is the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, there is no documentary evidence of the School Manager confirming the goods were received.  Recommendation 25: SIMS: Authorised Signatories List (Ex.24) The School Finance - David Invoices are authorised of payment.  Paul Darby Shirer - David Low Service Finance - David Invoices are authorised of payment.  The risk is the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, goods may not have been received as there is no formal documentation of the School Manager receiving the goods.  A reminder will be issued to schools via the Extranet, about recommended procedures.  Recommendation 25: SIMS: Authorised Signatories List (Ex.24) The School Funding Team should ensure the annual updating of all authorised signatory lists.  Finance staff should an approved individual signs the PACL and as  The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as		Accounts Payable system after every SSID upload. However, there is no control sheet in place and no formal documentation of the reconciliation exists.				The sight is the assessitiation in set in place and an executional set	will be allocated shortly to ensure that the reconciliation and review are completed on a monthly basis.	Review the reconciliation and ensure correct, or take corrective action	30 November 2012	
Recommendation 24: SIMS: Invoice not authorised for payment (Ex.23) School Finances talf should ensure that all invoices are authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence the goods have been received. The Durham Federation Finance Team stated that an approved individual signs all invoices before payment. However, the invoice tested was not authorised for payment. In addition, there is no documentary evidence of the School Manager confirming the goods were received.  Service Finance - David Service Finance - David Paul Darby Shirer Low goods.  The risk is the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In addition, goods may not have been received as there is no formal documentation of the School Manager receiving the goods.  A reminder will be issued to schools via the Extranet, about recommended procedures.  Service Finance - David Goods.  Paul Darby Shirer Low goods.  Service Finance - David Goods.  A reminder will be issued to schools via the Extranet, about recommended procedures.  Service Finance - David Goods.  A reminder will be issued to schools via the Extranet, about recommended procedures.  Service Finance - David Goods.  The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as			Paul Darby			all invoices are uploaded to the Accounts Payable system for	now checked, maintained and filed following each upload. It is assumed that the			
In addition, there is no documentary evidence of the School Manager confirming the goods were received.  Paul Darby  Recommendation 25: SIMS: Authorised Signatories List (Ex.24) The School Funding Team should ensure the annual updating of all authorised signatory lists. In Payment Authorised signatories list held by the School Fruem.  Officers stated the authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence they were included on the petty cash authorised signatory lists, which is a suitable  The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as	24	Finance staff should ensure that all invoices are authorised. The School Manager should keep all GRN's on file to provide evidence the goods have been received.				The risk is the payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. In	n			
Funding Team should ensure the annual updating of all authorised signatory lists.  Ine Payment Authorisation Control Listing (PACL) tested was authorised by a member of staff not included on the authorised signatories list held by the School Funding Team.  Officers stated the authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence they were included on the petty cash authorised signatories list, which is a suitable  The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as		In addition, there is no documentary evidence of the School Manager confirming the			Low	formal documentation of the School Manager receiving the	,	Issue reminder to schools	30 November 2012	
Officers stated the authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence they were included on the petty cash authorised signatories list, which is a suitable  Update the authorised signatory lists The risk is an unapproved individual signs the PACL and as	25	Funding Team should ensure the annual updating of all authorised signatory lists. The Payment Authorisation Control Listing (PACL) tested was authorised by a member of staff not included on the authorised signatories list held by the School Funding								
compensating control.  Service Finance - David the signatories lists are not up to date this is not identified. This Agreed. The Creditor Team within School Funding will pursue this after half-term  Paul Darby Shirer Medium could result in payment of inaccurate or fraudulent invoices. which is the best time to do this.		Officers stated the authorised signatories list was out-of-date and provided evidence	Paul Darby	Service Finance - David Shirer	Medium	the signatories lists are not up to date this is not identified. This			30 November 2012	

No. 2010/11 Finding		Accountable Office Head of Service	r - Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Evidence
26	Recommendation 26: SIMS: Reconciliation of the SIMS upload to Accounts Payable system (Ex.25) The Council should ensure the year-end reconciliation uses the control total sheet and also ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises the year-end reconciliation.  Officers complete an informal reconciliation between the SIMS upload file and the Accounts Payable system after every SIMS upload. However, there is no control sheet in place. Therefore no formal documentation of the reconciliation exists.  Officers have provided assurance that a control sheet will be introduced by the year-end.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - David Shirer	Medium	The risk is the reconciliation is not in place and as a result not all invoices are uploaded to the Accounts Payable system for payment.		Allocate responsibility for the preparation and review of the reconciliation  Ensure completion of the reconciliation  Review the reconciliation and ensure correct, or take corrective action  Retain evidence of review	30 November 2012	
27	Recommendation 27: Housing Benefits: Council Tax Benefit duplicate payment (Ex. 40) The Council should ensure that officers review all cases on the spool report and make manual amendments to the affected claims.  City of Durham - Following conversion from the Northgate system to the Civica system, officers identified 48 claims with a total value of £17,403.33 receiving a duplicate Council Tax Benefit (CTB) payment in error. These claims were logged to be corrected.  Testing found that the Council made a duplicate Council Tax Benefit payment to Council Tax account 3612363390 for claim number 1009328.  As at 4 January 2012 officers had not amended the affected claims.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - M Waters/J Scotney	Low	The risk is the Council Tax Benefit posted to the claimants Council Tax account is wrong.	Conversion testing identified an issue regarding duplicated CTB payments, CIVICA subsequently ran a utility identifying a total of 48 affected claims. All claims have now been corrected and updated. The CTB posted to the Council Tax accounts has also been checked and is correct.		Complete	Required
28 * 26	Recommendation 28: Housing Benefits: Benefit payment controls (Ex.41) The Council should ensure a Senior officer reviews all Housing Benefits payments before submission.  Authorisation of Housing Benefit payments by a senior officer before processing is not required.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - C Blackburn/ T Robinson	High	The risk is payment of inaccurate or fraudulent payments, as there is no requirement for the payment file to be authorised.	All HB payment runs (BACS & Cheque) are now countersigned by a senior officer in line with the authorized signatory list before submission. Spreadsheets now record details of the officer creating the payment file, counter signing officer and offer responsible for the file submission.		Complete	Required
29	Recommendation 29: Housing Benefits: Rent Rebate Reconciliations (Ex.42) The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations. Timely three-way reconciliations between the General Ledger, Housing Benefits and Housing Rents system, which are reviewed and authorised by a senior officer. All entries and reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation.  Easington and Wear Valley Prior to January 2012, Rent Rebate reconciliations between the Housing Benefit system and the East Durham Homes and Dale and Valley Homes systems have only been completed on the former district systems.  City of Durham The reconciliation does not reconcile the Housing Benefit system to the Housing rents system. Instead it is only reconciles the Housing Benefits system to the General Ledger.  Durham County Council (Unitary) Officers have not performed reconciliations during 2011/12.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - C Blackburn/ T Robinson	High	The risk is the values contained within the Housing Rents systems do not agree to the Housing Benefits system.	At the time of the walkthrough, reconciliations had not been completed on the new merged system. Since January 2012, a full reconciliation has taken place for 2011/12 for all three of the HRA providers, with any discrepancies identified and noted. Reconciliation procedures have been developed and implemented internally. Reconciliation procedures have also been agreed with the housing providers, EDH, D&VH and DCH and reconciliations will continue to be completed as part of the overall Rents Reconciliations for all three areas.		Complete	Required
30	Recommendation 30: Housing Benefits: Council Tax Benefit Reconciliations (Ex. 42) The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations. A senior should review also review and authorise the reconciliations. All entries and reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation.  No Council Tax benefit reconciliation has been completed during 2011/12.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - C Blackburn/ T Robinson	High	The risk is the values contained within the General Ledger for Council Tax Benefit may not agree to those held within the Housing Benefit and Council Tax systems.	Following completion of the merged new system full reconciliation has taken place. Since January 2012 the monthly reconciliations have re-commenced.		Complete	Required
31	Recommendation 31: Housing Benefits: Rent Allowance Reconciliations (Ex.42) The Council should introduce a standardised format for completing reconciliations. Al senior should review also review and authorise the reconciliations. All entries and reconciling items should be referenced to supporting documentation. Unester-le-Street The format of the reconciliation is difficult to understand. In addition, unreconciled items with a value of £110,000 are included. City of Durham The reconciliation includes a BACS returned value for the period 1 September – 23 October 2011 of £2,366.16. However, this balance appears to exclude the BACS payment of £67 returned on 13 September 2011.  Seagenera The reconciliation prior to merge identified there were payments of £1,977,097.33 included in the Housing Benefit system that were not in the General Ledger. In addition, there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was subsequently included in the General Ledger. The reconciliation prior to merge identified there were payments of £1,977,097.33 included in the Housing Benefit system that were not in the General Ledger. In addition, there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was subsequently included in the General Ledger. The reconciliation prior to merge identified there were payments of £1,977,097.33 included in the Housing Benefit system that were not in the General Ledger. In addition, there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was subsequently included in the General Ledger.  Durham County Council - Merged - The reconciliation has not been completed in a stretch means and the page of the reconciliation is a stretch means and the page of the reconciliation is a stretch means and the page of the reconciliation is a stretch means and the page of the reconciliation is a stretch means and there is no evidence of follow-up to ensure the payment was subsequently included in the General Ledger.		Soning Finance C			Pre merged Chester le Street - Officers have identified the discrepancies and are working to resolve the issue.  City of Durham - The balance appeared to exclude the £67, however this was a result of an unclear explanation. It had been identified during a reconciliation of the new system and the reconciliation details have been amended to explain the discrepancy.  Sedgefield - This amount was the advanced payment made prior to the shutdown of the Northgate system. This was identified during the reconciliation process and the former Sedgefield system has been reconciled and balanced. Durham County Council - Merged - Following 'go live' of the new system, procedures are in place to reconcile payments on a monthly basis going forward.		Complete	Required
32	timely manner and there is no evidence of review of the reconciliation by a senior officer.  Recommendation 32: Housing Benefits: First payment made to landlords (Ex.43)  The Council should only make first payments to the claimant's landlord if the claimant has approved the payment.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - C Blackburn/ T Robinson	High		A full reconciliation has taken place for the 2011/12 merged system, with any discrepancies identified and noted. This will be completed during May 2012.			
	The Council has followed the guidance in A4/2011 of making first payments to landlords, as detailed in the Council's official guidance issued to assessors. In the one case tested, the claimant made specific requests that they receive the first payment direct	Paul Darby	Service Finance - C Blackburn/ T Robinson	High		Housing Benefit (LHA & A4/2011) guidance states that an authority may make the first payment of Housing Benefit to the landlord, where they consider that it		Complete	Required

	2011/12 Finding	Accountable Office	r - Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions Comp	plete by:	Evidence
Finding		Head of Service							
33 * 27	<b>Recommendation 33: Housing Benefits: Parameters (Ex.44)</b> The Council should ensure a senior officer reviews and authorises the parameters entered into the merged system.				The risk is the parameters in the merged system have been	Spreadsheets were available detailing all parameters set and whilst all parameters were checked by a senior officer the spreadsheets were not countersigned.  Moving forward as part of 2012/13 annual billing processes all parameters have been set in accordance with the 2012/13 charges. All parameters have been		Complete	Required
	Officers could not provide evidence a senior officer has reviewed the parameters in the new merged Housing Benefit system.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - M Waters/J Scotney	High		been set in accordance with the 2012/15 charges. All parameters have been by checked and countersigned. The process of counter signing has now been adopted for all parameter changes.			
34	Recommendation 34: Council Tax: Reconciliation of VOA schedule to RV reports (Ex.32) The Council should ensure the review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.  Officers have completed a reconciliation of VOA schedule to banding reports. However, there is no evidence of review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad	d Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and as no review takes place this is not identified.	Procedures have now been implemented to formally record the review which is undertaken by a senior officer.		Complete	Required
35	Recommendation 35: Council Tax and NNDR: Unable to provide documentation of new property (Ex.34 and 40) The Council should ensure that all documentation is								
	found and suitably filed.  Officers stated that planning department report all new properties or amendments to existing properties that require assessment for Council Tax and NNDR purposes. However, the supporting documentation for one new property was not readily available as evidence for testing at the time our work was carried out for Council tax at Sedgefield and NNDR at Derwentside, due to staff and documentation being located on several sites.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Searle	d/A Medium	The risk is that some properties are not charged Council Tax and NNDR as assessments are not undertaken.	Following the implementation of the unitary systems and structure, procedures have been put into place and documentation centralised and filed.		Complete	Required
36 * 22,	Recommendation 36: Council Tax and NNDR: Authorisation of Parameters (Ex.46 and Ex.37) The Council should ensure a senior officer should review the 2012/13 parameters, and formal documentation of the review kept.  Sedgefield  The parameters for 2011/12 were input into the system and then reviewed by an independent officer. However, documentation of the review took place several months after entering the parameters. Therefore there is only evidence of this control taking place in retrospect.  Council tax - wear valley, leescale and Derwentside  Senior officers entered the parameters and more junior staff then reviewed them (NB Teesdale - a senior officer did not review and authorise the NNDR parameters). This is a control weakness as there is a risk that junior staff do not have the relevant expertise and may feel pressured into agreeing the work of more senior officers when errors have occurred.  Chester-le-Street and Easington  Officers could not find supporting documentation to support the annual updating of parameters.								
	City of Durham – NNDR only Officers claimed the parameters were input by two members of staff and then reviewed by a senior officer. However, the officer who claimed they reviewed the parameters has signed the supporting documentation as the inputting officer. The documentation does not include any evidence of another individual reviewing the parameters. Therefore there is no evidence to support the procedures described by officers.  Durham County Unitary - Officers could not provide documentary evidence of review of the Council Tax parameters after migration from the former district systems to the new unitary system.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - M Waters/J Scotney	High	The risk is the parameters were inaccurate and that no review was in place to identify the errors.	All former district sites are now obsolete i.e. Sedgefield, Wear Valley, Teesdale, Derwentside, Chester-le-Street and Easington.  Durham County Unitary - Spreadsheets were available detailing all parameters set and whilst all parameters where checked by a senior officer the spreadsheets were not countersigned. As part of 2012/13 annual billing processes all parameters were set in accordance with the 2012/13 charges.  All parameters were checked and countersigned. The process of counter signing has now been adopted for all parameter changes.		Complete	Required
37	Recommendation 37: Council Tax and NNDR: Fund account reconciliations (Ex.62) The Council should try to deal with all reconciling items ready for the year-end reconciliation. A senior officer should also review and authorise the year-end reconciliation. Going forward all reconciliations should be completed on a timely basis. The Unitary Council Tax and NNDH system reconciliations were not completed in a timely manner and included a significant number of reconciling items, which officers were unable to explain as part of the January 2012 reconciliation. However, officers intend to resolve most reconciling items ready for the year-end reconciliation. In addition, no evidence of senior officer review and authorisation has taken place.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Dowson	d/ J High	The risk is the General Ledger does not include all Council Ta and NNDR transactions.	Extensive work has been carried out in this area. A detailed action plan was developed, which included year end closedown procedures as well as a Reconciliation Proforma Log. Weekly meetings/updates continue to be conducted to monitor progress.  A senior officer has responsibility for reviewing all income reconciliations in x accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.		Complete	Required
38	Recommendation 38: NNDR: Reconciliation of VO Schedules to RV reports (Ex.36) The Council should ensure the review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.  Lurnam County Council – All Sites  Officers have completed a reconciliation of VOA schedule to banding reports. However, there is no evidence of review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.  Easington  For the 20 April 2011 the VO schedule states there are properties of 2,365 with a rateable value of 49,231,651. However, the property control report from the NNDR system shows properties of 2,364 with a rateable value of 49,254,901. Officers could not explain this difference.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Searle	d/A Medium	The risk is the reconciliations are not accurate and as no review takes place this is not identified. Also risk that NNDR records are incomplete and as a result not all NNDR income that should be received is	Procedures have now been implemented to formally record the review which is undertaken by senior officer		Complete	Required
39 * 34	Recommendation 39: Housing Rents: Review of feeder system upload files not documented (Ex.26) Officers should sign both the hardcopy summary file received from the feeder systems and the batch upload file from the Housing Rents system. Officers at East Durham Homes reconcile the feeder system upload files and the batch uploaded into the Housing Rents system to ensure that it is complete. However, no documentary evidence of this review is kept. This is the case for both Cash Receipting and Housing Benefit files	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Hughes	d/J Medium	The risk is the reconciliation does not take place as it is not evidenced. As a result errors may occur that are not identified	This reconciliation is completed by DCC for all Rents. The Revenues section undertakes a reconciliation showing the cash processed through ICON into the various rent systems. This is then confirmed with the rents teams to the figures uploaded into the rent systems.		Complete	Required

No. 2010/11 Finding	2011/12 Finding	Accountable Office Head of Service		Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Evidence
40	Recommendation 40: Housing Rents: Weekly cash reconciliation not documented (Ex.27) All reconciliations should be reviewed, checked and authorised by a senior officer. Particular emphasis should be placed on ensuring the Year end housing rents reconciliations are reviewed and authorised. A control sheet could be introduced to evidence the reconciliation has taken place.								
	The weekly reconciliation between the control spreadsheet for EDH, for both Cash Receipting and Housing Benefit payments received, and the total payments as recorded in Orchard is completed (although not evidenced formally). However, there is no independent review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Hughes	/ J Medium	The risk is the reconciliation does not take place as it is not evidenced. As a result errors may occur that are not identified.	This reconciliation is completed by DCC for all Rents. The Revenues section undertakes a reconciliation showing the cash processed through ICON into the various rent systems. This is then confirmed with the rents teams to the figures uploaded into the rent systems.		Complete	Required
41	Recommendation 41: Reconciliations between the rents system and General Ledger not completed (Ex. 28) The Council should ensure completion of year-end reconciliations for all three sites. A senior officer should review and authorise all reconciliations.  Lasington  As at 10 January 2012 officers had completed an informal reconciliation for the period April to November 2011. Officers are developing a control sheet that will formally document the reconciliation undertaken and are hoping to use this to document all 2011/12 monthly reconciliations retrospectively.  Wear Valley and City of Durham  No monthly reconciliations between the Housing Rents system and the General Ledger have been completed during 2011/12.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - J Hughe	es High	The risk is the General Ledger does not include all Housing Rent transactions.	The `informal' reconciliation has been carried out for several years by the Rent team at EDH. However the reconciliation reviewed did not include a signed control sheet. It is acknowledged that this reconciliation should follow the standard format identified and therefore the process has now been introduced		Complete	Required
42 * 34	Recommendation 42: Housing Rents: Authorisation of the rents uprating calculation (Ex.29) The rents uprating calculation should be authorised on a timely basis.  A senior officer has reviewed and authorised the rent restructure document used to uprate the rents across all three sites. However, this was in retrospect several months after the uprating took place. Therefore there is a weakness in the timeliness of the control.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - J Hugho	es Medium	The risk is the rent calculation for 2011/12 contains errors that were not identified.	The senior officer reviewed and authorised the rent restructure prior to the rents eing uploaded, however the documentation evidencing this procedure was completed retrospectively. The 2012/13 review was completed and calculations signed off at the same time prior to upload.		Complete	Required
43	Recommendation 43: Housing Rents: Agreement of properties in the rent restructure document to the rents system (Ex.30) The Council should agree properties in the rent structure to the rents system on a timely basis.  Officers carried out a random check of 15 properties for all three sites in retrospect several months after the new rents were uploaded to the rents systems. Therefore there is a weakness in the timeliness of this control.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - J Hughe	es Medium	The risk is the rents have not been correctly uploaded and tha this was not identified.	A review of all three rent systems had taken place to confirm that the rents were uploaded correctly, however as noted above, the documentation evidencing this procedure was completed retrospectively. During audit sample checking, no trerrors were found in relation to the upload. The 2012/13 review was completed and calculations signed off at the same time prior to upload.		Complete	Required
44	Recommendation 44: Housing Rents: Rent download and upload (Ex.39) The Council should ensure officers gain an understanding of the process carried out by contractors so they can review the contractors work, or if the contractor is not available can complete the download themselves.  The rent download and upload for City of Durham is completed by a contractor based in Spain. It was not possible for us to walkthrough the process at the time of the audit.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - J Hughe	es Medium	The risk is the contractor is not completing the rent download and uploads correctly and as a result of no review of the processes undertaken this is not identified.	In the context of the data load of new rents into the system, the rents are checked as above in order to review the contractors work. Should the contractor not be available, then the system supplier, Northgate, (or other consultants) would be able to undertake any requirements.		Complete	Required
45	Recommendation 45: Housing Rents: No review of property removal (Ex.45) The Council should introduce a review of all properties removed to ensure the removal has been completed correctly.  A process is in place for the removal of properties from the Housing Rents system. However, this does not involve the property removed being reviewed by an independent officer to ensure the removal is correct.  Recommendation 46: Cash Receipting: Suspense Account (Ex.58) The Council	Paul Darby	Service Finance - J Hughe	es Medium	The risk is that properties that have either being privately bought or demolished are still included in the housing stock and charges and valuations are wrongly raised for these properties.	There are current processes in place to inform the Rent Teams to remove properties from the Rent Account. Any errors are spotted by the nature of the service. For example, should a RTB not be removed and the Authority continued to charge the rent, the former tenant advises immediately of the problem. Similarly, should a demolished property not be removed, the rent arrears would be highlighted immediately and upon investigation the problem would be found. There is an overall check at the year end as part of the Final Accounts process, where a reconciliation of stock numbers is undertaken for the HRA Statement. A similar mid-year check took place in previous years as part of the Housing Subsidy Base data return and whilst this return is no longer valid, it is the Head of Finance (Financial Services) intention to continue with this mid-year reconciliation.	Continue the mid-year reconciliation	Complete	Required
46	should clear the suspense account of all large items by the year-end. As at 15 March 2012 the total value in suspense was £1,613,361.52. Of this balance, £1,568,871.34 related to March 2012. This does show significant improvement in managing suspense items from the very high balance seen early February 2012 which occurred due to the assignment of incorrect references meaning transactions were not automatically allocated. This has now resolved.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Dowson	/J High	The risk is that a high volume of income is not correctly posted by the year-end.	The re - referencing has been resolved. Suspense amounts are being cleared on a daily basis and monitored weekly by management.  The year end action plan ensured that all payments were posted on 31 March 2012.		Complete	Required
47	Recommendation 47: Cash Receipting: Cash sheet totals reconciliation not evidenced (Ex.59) The Council should ensure documentation is maintained to provide evidence of reconciliations taking place.  No reconciliation was performed between the cash taken by the Spennymoor Cash Office and the amount recorded in ICON for the date tested, as the cash office printers were not working. This resulted in no hard copy documentation being obtained.  Officers did however state that they reviewed ICON to ensure the values reconciled, although this review is not evidenced.		Service Finance - K Coad Dowson	/ J Medium	The risk is the reconciliation is not performed and as a result errors are not identified.	Upon failure of a printer, end of day reports can be produced in the back office.  All cashiers bankings have been reconciled from 1 April 2011 as part of the bank reconciliation. These form part of the reconciliation action plan.		Complete	Required

	2011/12 Finding	Accountable Officer	r - Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response	Actions	Complete by:	Evidence
Finding		Head of Service							
48	Recommendation 48: Cash Receipting: Cash upload files reconciliation (Ex.60) The Council should reconcile all accounts in the General Ledger that are affected by the upload file. In addition, the reconciliation should be reviewed and authorised by a senior officer.								
	A reconciliation is performed between the Cash upload file received from the ICON system and the cash recorded in the General Ledger, however this was only introduced 1 December 2011.  The reconciliation only reconciles the element of the upload file coded to account 936900 - System Cash Account in the General Ledger. As a result the reconciliation for 9 January 2012 only reconciled £1,025,427.99 of £2,781,731.26 that was included in the cash upload file.  In addition, there is no review and authorisation of the reconciliation by a senior officer.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad Dowson	/ J High	The risk is the reconciliation does not highlight differences between the upload file and the General Ledger, as it only focuses on one General Ledger account and is not reviewed and authorised.	Originally this reconciliation only included amounts going through ICON, which explains that difference. Since then, the daily reconciliation has been extended to include all income. A senior officer now has responsibility for reviewing all income reconciliations in accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.		Complete	Required
	Recommendation 49: Cash Receipting: Duplicate References (Ex.61) The Council should ensure that all income posted to duplicate reference accounts has been investigated and evidence gained that it has been posted to the correct account.								
	When the new ICON system was introduced there were many duplicate account references in the former district systems. As a result income received for one account may be wrongly posted to the other based on the duplicate reference. Officers have stated that this is no longer an issue as this was identified early in 2011/12. They have also stated the fund account reconciliations are identifying any errors.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - K Coad. Dowson	J Low	The residual risk is that income posted to the wrong account is not identified but this is not a material risk.	An exercise was undertaken to identify all duplicate account numbers and where s possible checked for wrong payments. The ICON allocation rules were amended from September 2011 to ensure that this could no longer happen.		Complete	Required
	Recommendation 50: Bank Reconciliations: Bank Reconciliations not prepared or authorised on a timely basis (Ex.56) The Council should ensure Bank reconciliations for all accounts should be prepared and authorised on a timely basis.								
	As at 26 March 2012 the most recently completed County Fund reconciliation was 31 December 2011. January and February 2012 reconciliations were signed as prepared and reviewed on 27 March 2012 but they contained material amounts of items in the bank but not in General Ledger. Not all of these amounts were identified to transaction level.					Picked up by the Spennymoor team where extensive work has been carried out in this area. A detailed action plan has been developed. Weekly meetings/ updates continue to be conducted to monitor progress.  A draft reconcilitation is complete to 31 March 2012. However, a matching exercise is still underway. The finding refers to material, unreconciled items, this refers to cheque and BACS payments made from the Open Revenues system and not processed through Oracle.			
	No district reconciliations have been undertaken since September 2011. Officers stated that one reconciliation will be prepared for each district, not each account, for the last 6 months of the year. In addition, there is no evidence the former district September 2011 reconciliations were reviewed and authorised.  No Income Collection fund bank reconciliation has been undertaken during the year. This is because the bank reconciliation facility within ICON is not working.  In addition, the Bank Reconciliations are not authorised on a timely basis. Bank reconciliations are also being authorised even though they include material reconciling items that have not been identified.	Jeff Garfoot / Paul Darby	Service Finance K Coad / Dowson / Strategic Financ Ian Small		The risk is that bank or General Ledger errors will not be identified and corrected on a timely basis.	As there was no interface in place until April-12 to process the relevant GL coding in Oracle for these items, manual journals have been processed instead. There is now an exercise underway to match bank transactions (BACS batch values and individual cheque amounts) with manual journal entries (batch amounts). This should be completed by the w/e 20/5/12. As highlighted, the last reconcilitations were carried out as at 30 September 2011. Since this date, each of these accounts have operated on an imprest basis (in that all credit transactions received are transferred on a daily basis over to the Income Collection account and are accounted for via ICON). Any debits that hit the account are coded manually in Oracle. The balances on each of these accounts were brought to zero as at 31 March 2012. The final exercise is still to be undertaken to ensure that the GL balances for each, reconcile to zero at the end of	Ensure the reconciliations are completed, reviewed and evidence retained	31 December 2012	
	Recommendation 51: Bank Reconciliations: Material sum of items through bank not included in General Ledger (Ex. 57) The Council should ensure that reconciliations are only authorised when all reconciling items have been identified. No interface between the Open Hevenues system and the General Ledger exists. Therefore transactions are being posted manually. This has resulted in large reconciling items in the Bank reconciliation as items have been included in the Bank but not yet posted to the General Ledger. For example in January 2012 there was a total of £100.9 million. Some, but not all of these balances, have been identified down to transaction level. Even though these reconciling items exist, the bank reconciliations		Service Finance - K Coad.	J		A senior officer now has responsibility for reviewing all reconciliations in		Complete	Required
52	are still being signed off.  Recommendation 52: SPOCC: No reconciliation between the SPOCC system and	Paul Darby	Dowson	High	error or manipulation	accordance with a pre-approved checklist, on at least a monthly basis.			
	the Accounts Payable system (Ex.31)  The Council should perform formal reconciliations between the SPOCC system and the Accounts Payable System. A senior officer should review and authorise the reconciliations. There is no formal reconciliation between the Accounts Payable system and SPOCC system. Officers stated they gain assurance that all payments processed through the SPOCC system are uploaded into the Accounts Payable system for payment, if no error warning appears when the file is uploaded.	Paul Darby	Service Finance - Account	s Medium	The risk is the Accounts Payable system and General Ledger will not be complete.	Although governed by the constraints of Oracle, reconciliation/control sheets are now checked, maintained and filed following each upload. An AP supervisor reviews and authorises the reconciliation.		Complete	Required

7 of 8

## Appendix 3 Annual Governance Report - Action Plan

2011/12 Finding	Accountable Officer Head of Service	-Responsibility	Priority	Auditor comments	Response													
						Actions	Complete by:											
Recommendation 1 Revaluations – schools	Stuart Timmiss	Assets - Michael Gilbey	High	The Council Valuer will need to consider which assets are revalued in 2012/13 to avoid any problems with part valuation of schools. The Council should ensure that changes in valuations as at April and at the year end (31 March) are fully	Rolling Programme with External Audit for consideration.	Agree rolling programme of valuations with External Audit												
				considered by finance as well as estates before deciding whether to account for these in the financial statements. A full valuation of schools should be completed as at 1 April 2012.		Issue instructions to Assets - Valuer	30 November 20											
						Complete agreed valuations in line with agreed programme.												
2 Recommendation 2 Asset register	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance -	High	Reconciliations between the fixed asset register and the general ledger should be	e Although reconciliations were provided, they did not adequately verify all the figures													
reconciliation to ledger		lan Herberson	· ··g··	completed as part of the closedown period and must reconcile to all figures in the PPE note in the accounts. This should be supported by working papers and be	e in the PPE note. From 2012/13 the reconciliations will be extended to include verification of all movements recorded in the PPE Note. It is agreed that the asset	Complete reconciliations for all entries in PPE note												
				completed prior to submission of the draft statements. The asset register should be updated regularly throughout the year (including additions).	register should be updated regularly throughout the year (including additions), however this is a challenge as it requires the continual reconciliation of additions to	Evaluate the benefit of including	30 June 2013											
					capital expenditure, which it is only possible to finalise at the year end. It is a further time consuming exercise to evaluate the additions for adding value to the asset.	additions during the year	J											
Recommendation 3 Asset register –	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance -	High	Easington Council Dwellings records are held on a village basis and not on an	Separate report to Audit Committee - 22 November 2012													
migration of housing dwellings		lan Herberson		individual asset basis. The Council should look to migrate all three housing asset registers for council dwellings onto the IPF Asset Manager as soon as is practicable to address this issue.			28 February 201											
Recommendation 4 Working papers	Jeff Garfoot	Strategic Finance - Hilary Appleton	High	officers as part of the closedown arrangements and a full set available for the beginning of the audit. There should be a clear audit trail to the figures in the	External Auditor would expect to be provided. When devising the Final Accounts timetable for 2012/13 Closure of Accounts, it is proposed to build in an action and	Add action 'working paper completion' into Final Accounts timetable												
				statements and notes. This process will involve considerable effort but I am confident that the number of audit queries will reduce and more importantly so will the demands on officers' time.	time for the completion of good quality working papers. A central repository will be established which adequately signposts the working papers provided to the Notes the Statement of Accounts.	Create a central repository for the deposit of completed working papers ready for External Audit consideration	30 June 2013											
					Ensure the quality of the working papers is improved													
5 Recommendation 5 HRA rents	Paul Darby	Service Finance -	High	Officers were unable to provide a detailed breakdown of the weekly rent income	Reports to provide the specific evidence required were not available on the Rent	Consider how appropriate level of												
necommendation 3 Tha Tents	Azhar Rafiq		riigii	figure, for the week 02/01/2012 – 08/01/2012, from the Durham City Homes rents	System – however, we are able to provide a standard system report which details	skills and knowledge to interrogate the system can be gained.	J											
															system because only one individual who works offsite has the appropriate knowledge to allow an interrogation of the system. Officers should ensure that they have the appropriate knowledge within the council to enable them to	all transactions over a period identified. Unfortunately, this report is a text file and does not have the facility to sort/query and the size of such files can also negate manual reconciliation.	Investigate the possibility of providing reports in a format that allows interrogation - i.e.not a text file.	Ì
				complete this task.	Although the County Council uses a contractor, there are other means of providing the appropriate reports. The County Council will however consider how the	Discuss the information requirements with External Audit prior to the year end.	31 December 20											
					appropriate skills and knowledge can be gained by officers.  Officers will liaise with External Auditors prior to the year end to establish what evidence/information will be required for 2012/13 Audit so that this can be made	Arrange for the required reports to be available as soon as possible after the year end.												
					available as a working paper	Cycur ena.												
Recommendation 6 HRA ALMOs	Paul Darby	Service Finance - Azhar Rafiq	High	Both East Durham Homes and Dale and Valley Homes should be requested to specifically show a charge for 'Supervision and Management' and 'Repairs and	The management agreement with the ALMOs is a single agreement in return for a single management fee which is negotiated annually and we do not have separate													
		·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Maintenance' on their periodic management fee invoices to provide a more robust method for finance officers to be able to prepare the classification needed	funding agreements for repairs and management expenditure. The two ALMOs prepare income and expenditure accounts in line with companies act requirements	Discuss the information requirements with External Audit prior to the year												
				or the accounts. and for their p	and for their purposes a distinction is not necessary.	end.	J 31 December 20											
					The figures used in the final accounts process are developed following detailed and full consultation and agreement with the two ALMOs. Therefore the work required to	Provide evidence of the agreement of	)											
					ensure the figures are robust is already undertaken. Showing a notional breakdown on the invoice is not necessary. The year end position and the entries on the HRA	the split of the ALMO fee as appropriate.	J											
					statement are discussed and agreed with ALMO colleagues.													